defining mental health

Mental health is a spectrum, ranging from well-being to a common or severe mental health disorder. This includes emotional, psychological & social well-being. Mental health problems along a continuum from mild, time-limited distress (grief due to loss) to common mental illness (anxiety) to chronic, progressive, and severely disabling conditions (schizophrenia).

Mental health is important at every stage of life, from childhood through adulthood. Mental health can deeply affect daily life, relationships and physical health too.

One in four of us is likely to have a mental health issue in our life time. The mental health care gap should concern us all.

150 mil
Indians were in need of active interventions for mental health issues (one or more).

30 mil
But less than

The Treatment Gap
is the lack of enough mental health professionals (MH-PS) for this range from:

28% → 83%

The Mental Health Care Gap
of those living with psychosocial Disabilities or mental health issues remain without support due to lack of access, despite being unwell for over 12 months.
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scale of the issue

18%
Of global population lives in India, however India accounts for

24% - 37%
Of the global suicide deaths

vulnerable groups

Suicide is the second leading cause of death among those aged 15-29 years in India.

India makes up 17 percent of the world’s female population and counts for nearly 40 percent of the world’s female suicides.

Mental Illness as a percentage of impact of global disease

expenditure on mental health

Mental illness contributes to 31% of the impact of global diseases but receives 1% or less funding from national health budgets worldwide. India spends 1.3% of health expenditure on mental health.

Funding for mental illness

45%
mental health is a development issue

People with mental health issues are subjected to discrimination and stigmatization in their daily lives and are prone to physical and sexual violence. Most people with mental health issues face barriers not only in availing proper education and finding good jobs but also in exerting their civil and political rights.

Poor mental health is both a cause and a consequence of poverty, compromised education, gender inequality, physical ill-health, violence and other global challenges. It impedes the individual’s capacity to work productively, realize their potential and make a contribution to their community.

social causation
High stress/reduced access to social capital/malnutrition/obstetric risks

mental health issues
Higher prevalence/no access to care

poverty
Economic deprivation/low education/unemployment/lack of basic amenities/housing, food/water insecurity

social exclusion
Marginalization due to caste/gender/age/class/sexuality/ability/religion, stigma/discrimination, violence, lack of access to education/work/public services
Mental health has remained invisible or implicit in development paradigms. In fact, mental health heavily influences 10 of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals.

Including and working on mental health is in fact a prerequisite to SDGs 1 to 5 and 10 at the very least. Other than that, mental health effects have been hypothesized as explanations for low uptake or limited success of certain development initiatives. For example, maternal depression has been shown to increase the risk of poor infant nutrition, stunting and diarrheal disease. If we want to influence better health outcomes for infants, we must ensure that pregnant women or mothers of infants are routinely screened for mental health conditions and provided with appropriate care and treatment. Similarly, depression has been shown to adversely affect adherence to antiretroviral medication among those living with HIV/AIDS. Again, to influence better health outcomes, mental health care and treatment should be integrated within HIV/AIDS programs.

Thus, mental health issues cannot be considered in isolation from other areas of development, such as education, employment, emergency responses and human rights capacity building.
In India, approximately a third of the population is aged between 10-24 years.

Children spend more time in school than in any other formal institutional structure, so schools play a primary role in their cognitive, emotional, and behavioral development. The school’s environment also has profound effects on the health and well-being of children and adolescents. Positive school environments have been found to protect against a range of adverse health and education outcomes for young people including depression, bullying, violence and academic performance.

**BULLYING/RAGGING**

Unfortunately, educational establishments are home to bullying and harassment. The odds of suicidal ideation and suicidal attempts are more than doubled in young people who report peer victimisation. Bullying can affect children into adulthood with increases in the prevalence of anxiety, depression, and self-harm.

**POTENTIAL OF SCHOOLS**

Supportive and positive relationships among peers, with teachers and families, have been found to protect against a range of adverse health and education outcomes for young people, including depression, bullying, violence and academic performance. Mental health interventions that promote social skills, problem solving skills and involve the whole ecosystem can be critical especially in low-and middle-income countries with limited awareness and high occurrence of disease.
Professionals in educational settings can play a critical role in children's mental health.

Given that these professionals may be in the best position to note changes in behaviour, including emerging mental health issues. Similarly, knowledge about mental health and academic stress must also be promoted among parents and family. Interventions should ideally include both teachers and families in cooperation to form a supportive ecosystem for students. Lay counsellors as well as teachers trained in mental health interventions have proven to be effective in low-resource settings.

The Broadleaf project in Darjeeling is training primary school teachers to deliver mental health care which increases access to care for children in low resource settings. The project includes training for teachers on special education techniques that they can use to work with the child and use the child’s education itself as a therapeutic tool.

This means that children receive mental health care throughout their day, in their own environment, rather than waiting for a weekly individual session with an expert which may never be possible in a low resource setting.

“It helps teachers learn new strategies for classroom and behavior management. This leverages existing resources (teachers) by focusing on synergies between education and child psychiatry for children under age ten with mental health struggles, for whom intervention is most effective.”

— DLR Prerna Team.

key takeaways

Research shows that for many children, schools may serve as potential spaces for emancipation and growth. For those who come from vulnerable backgrounds, schools may serve as potential for emancipation and growth.

School environments affect health and academic outcomes so promoting quality school social environments could offer a scalable opportunity to improve adolescent health and wellbeing.

School based interventions should include multiple stakeholders – students, teachers, parents/family – to have the best chance at prevention of mental health concerns, along with multiple sources of support for a child/young adult.

Research has shown that lay counsellors have been used effectively in schools with adolescents to work on bullying, violence, depressive symptoms, attitudes towards gender equity, and knowledge of reproductive and sexual health.
Working on mental health is a non-negotiable, in order to achieve SDG 3 and to reach the target of reducing premature mortality from non-communicable diseases (NCD).

A person’s NCD symptoms can exacerbate mental health conditions, and at the same time, mental health conditions can be a risk factor for developing NCDs. Persons with mental health issues are less likely to seek help for NCDs, and their mental health concerns may affect adherence to treatment and prognosis. The physical health of people who live with severe mental illness is routinely and severely compromised due to inadequate prevention, late identification and ineffective treatment plans.

**MATERNAL HEALTH**

Studies show that 10%–30% of mothers from developing countries will suffer from depression. Perinatal depression (depression during pregnancy and childbirth, lasting for over a year after delivery) has been shown to increase the risk of poor infant nutrition, stunting and diarrheal disease. If we want to influence better health outcomes for infants, we must ensure that pregnant women or mothers of infants are routinely screened for mental health conditions and provided with appropriate care and treatment. Additionally, there’s an emerging pattern in maternal suicides in India.

**HIV/AIDS**

Similarly, depression has been shown to adversely affect adherence to antiretroviral medication among those living with HIV/AIDS. Again, to influence better health outcomes, mental health care and treatment should be integrated within HIV/AIDs programs. Thus, investing in mental health, particularly among populations most vulnerable to other health disparities improves health outcomes.
in practice

An awareness and knowledge of mental health needs to be built into physical care systems.

There are guidelines and tools available for general health care providers in the assessment and management of physical and mental health conditions (for example, World Health Organization’s mhGAP Intervention Guide for Mental and Neurological Disorders). Linking mental and physical health systems by delivery or additional support members to liaison between the two will also ensure better health outcomes.

Society for Nutrition, Education and Health Action (SNEHA) has been working with adolescent health related to anaemia, nourishment, sexual and reproductive health (SRH) in three urban communities in Mumbai. However, most health indicators do not inculcate emotional expression, training on gender and sexuality or mental health support. Teenage pregnancy, substance abuse and SRH concerns can be greatly mitigated with a supportive ecosystem rooted in family, communities, and public institutions. SNEHA is using their work in physical health to piggyback mental health interventions for greater efficacy of physical interventions as well as empowering youth to improve their health, acquire skills to negotiate choices and become gender-sensitive citizens.

“Over the last two years, our efforts have been to gradually build upon our existing relationship with the public health system to introduce the discourse of adolescent health, followed by capacity building of frontline workers and eventually initiating Adolescent Friendly Health Clinics.”

— SNEHA Team.

key takeaways

Including a mental health component or linkage may strengthen the efficacy of a physical health intervention.

There’s a data gap where mental health meets physical health. Data via clinic records is needed and will allow identification of health conditions and interventions for people with severe mental disorders and for those who develop mental health issues post an NCD diagnosis.

Persons with severe mental illness should be offered the same basic health screenings as the general population - which may not occur due to discrimination and lack of awareness.

Peer support programmes and family support programmes are potential resources that can critically support physical health interventions that are chronic and may require lifelong treatment and/or cause mental distress - cancers, diabetes.
Estimates of prevalence of PTSD* after disasters at 30–40% among direct victims, 10–20% among rescue workers.

Prevalence of mental illness post 1999 Odisha floods was 43–53% as well as in Kanyakumari after the 2004 tsunami & in Kerala post the 2018 floods.

Mental health issues continue even after 3–5 yrs in the disaster-affected community.

* PTSD: Post-traumatic Stress Disorder

Worldwide, every year, millions are affected by natural disasters - death, trauma and destruction of property.

Because such events are unpredictable as well as highly destructive, they cause significant mental distress, which is two to three times more prevalent in disaster-affected communities than in the general population. Besides, disaster-related distress lingers for a long time. In disaster prone areas—where floods, earthquakes, droughts reoccur—people face post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and heightened levels of anxiety. Disaster preparedness and response activities focus solely on immediate humanitarian needs such as water, shelter, food, and physical safety and health. Yet, disasters tend to disrupt social networks, besides destroying livelihoods and community resources.

**EARTHQUAKES IN NEPAL**

Nepal experiences a frequent occurrence of earthquakes and natural disasters, making disaster preparedness crucial. However, mental health issues affect participation in disaster preparation and also preparing for future disasters may negatively influence mental health and bring back memories of trauma. Substantial efforts to train disaster-prone communities in Nepal showed poor results, with research suggesting it was due to psychological factors and social contexts. So, over two months after an earthquake in 2015, a hybrid mental health and disaster preparation intervention was delivered to two communities. Participation in the intervention increased disaster preparedness, decreased depression and PTSD-related symptoms, and increased social cohesion—thereby greatly increasing the resilience of the community as well as the efficacy of the overall humanitarian intervention.
Traditional disaster management responses fail to account for how in the process of providing relief they might inadvertently enhance distress.

For example, overcrowding and a lack of privacy and safety, in disaster relief camps, increases distress for vulnerable groups such as women and children. In disaster situations, community networks and inherent mechanisms for psychosocial well-being and mental health may face undue strain or break down, making it all the more imperative to revive them. Integrating mental health within a disaster-response program involves fostering a community's ability to manage distress using its own resources by tapping the knowledge, skills, resources and insights of community members themselves.

When Cyclone Fani devasted 14 districts in Odisha, evacuations prevented large-scale casualties. However, given that Odisha sees frequent cyclones, it is crucial to invest in fostering resilience among disaster-prone communities. Basic Needs India has worked for decades in some of the disaster-affected districts, implementing community-based mental health and so, trained its volunteers from affected communities in psychosocial intervention skills. They received training to mobilize community members to take ownership of disaster-response efforts and work with other humanitarian agencies in tandem. The volunteers are also trained to re-establish community support structures and self-help groups that may have been temporarily disrupted in the wake of a disaster.

“Post disaster, the volunteers are ready to play a key role in reviving communal cultural practices and rebuilding communal bonds. Thus, instead of relying on external support, the community begins to respond collectively to its own needs.”
— Basic Needs India Team.

**key takeaways**

After exposure to a disaster, mental health issues are among the most frequent, adverse health effects — even though they are chronically underreported due to the stigma.

First responders and other recovery workers also are at increased risk for developing mental or substance use disorders, irrespective of whether they work on mental health or immediate humanitarian needs such as water, shelter, food, and medical care.

Disaster management and relief is continuous and cyclical. For preventative measures and immediate post-disaster measures, it is effective to link public health to disaster mental health.

Two to four weeks post a disaster is likely to be a critical phase for mental health, with reality setting in and mental health issues being triggered. This period (3-36 months) therefore requires focused mental health inputs.
mental health & livelihood

There is a significant economic impact to depression and anxiety; $1tril per year is the estimated cost to the global economy in lost productivity.

From 2015 - 2016 23,981 farmers died by suicide in India.

The employment rate of people with common mental disorders is 60-70% globally, which is 10-15% lower than for people not diagnosed with any mental disorder.

Research indicates that living with mental health issues is linked to higher rates of unemployment and shorter employment spells.

Being unemployed for significant periods is also known to impact a person's mental health. Mental health problems may make it more difficult for a person to obtain and/or hold a job as well. Employment conditions, work insecurity, may also lead to mental health issues—temporary labour, farmers and part-time workers may experience mental health distress. Livelihoods can be a source of basic economic and social support for everyone, including and especially for individuals with mental health and psychosocial needs.

FISHING INDUSTRY

In 2005, post the tsunami, an Indian NGO People’s Action for Development (PAD) and Terre des Hommes Suisse worked on rehabilitation in South Tamil Nadu. Their livelihood and microfinance programs focused on reviving the fishing industry. The study demonstrated that the livelihoods programs which included a participatory approach, psychosocial support activities, involving local communities and Self Help Groups, were more effective than programs without mental health interventions. These inputs worked to make livelihood interventions sustainable in the medium and long term.
Research shows that livelihood interventions and mental health services can be mutually reinforcing.

Mental health supports can be add-ons to livelihood programs or, can be foregrounded while planning livelihood initiatives—providing psychosocial benefits to participants, preempting mental health concerns or boosting functionality of participants. Additionally, due to the links between mental health and vulnerability to poverty, mental health programs can include a livelihood component — adding to their efficacy by improving livelihood potential and breaking a vicious cycle of exclusion.

Mahila Arthik Vikas Mahamandal (MAVIM) implemented a variety of women empowerment programs—including skill building, livelihood and microfinance programs—through Self Help Groups (SHGs) in Maharashtra in 11,326 villages, via 97,301 SHGs and 11,81,804 members. 50 Champions from their network will be trained in mental health under a model called ‘Atmiyata’ and will facilitate referral and linkages with the public health care system and for social benefits. The Champions from MAVIM will also train volunteers, or Mitras in each village. This means that they will facilitate social inclusion of MAVIM members and others not just through livelihoods but through multiple pathways using community support.

“People’s agency, that is the choices people make in developing their livelihood strategies, are determined by factors such as psychosocial status (stress and emotional status), functional family and social networks, and ultimately, by the locus of control and hope.”

— Ziveri, Kiani & Broquet.

key takeaways

Mental health interventions and livelihood support can be mutually enabling and reinforcing, especially when working with vulnerable populations.

There is a larger comparative impact of an integrated mental health-livelihood program—compared to a stand-alone livelihood program.

There is strong evidence for the protective effect of employment on depression and general mental health as long as the employment environment is generally positive.

Promoting employment for persons with severe mental illness helps combat social stigma, mitigates the impact of illness for some, and also addresses multidimensional poverty.
There is a fundamental and necessary linking of human rights, legal capacity, and agency — with protection of marginalised or vulnerable people.

This also brings together clinical mental health, social norms, public policy, and law in challenging and complex ways. One way is challenging human rights violations due to coercion and involuntary treatments in mental health, psychiatry, and mental institutional settings, and, secondly looking at mental health in penal institutions and the justice/legal system.

**INSTITUTIONS**

Rehabilitation of the patients and reintegration of patients into their family is ignored by mental health hospitals and the government. Thus many residents are left in the institutions by their families for life. The wards are dirty and overcrowded, with limited light and air circulation. Many patients are given medication without consent, electro-convulsive therapy, and face physical violence from authorities. There is a scarcity of food and water and inadequate general healthcare services.

**CRIMINAL JUSTICE**

Mental health intersects with criminal law in various ways including assessments of capacity to stand trial, as well as during the conviction for insanity pleas. However, prison rules do not allow mental health professionals access to prisoners, even for the preparation of defense. Additionally, prisons have ended up jailing persons with mental illness who are neither sent to mental health hospitals nor given treatment and then discharged into the community.
in practice

Using a rights-based approach in justice-related policy work while keeping in mind vulnerable and marginalised populations such as persons with mental illness is key.

There are multiple tools for consideration and policy support such as the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), the Indian Mental Health Care Act 2017 and Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016.

The Family Courts Act, 1984 mandated the establishment of Family Courts by the State Governments for settlement of issues relating to marriage and family — such as child custody, domestic violence, marital discord, maintenance and property rights. So, Family Courts are a site that may trigger high distress. Research showed us that State-appointed marriage counsellors lacked a gender-sensitive framework when working with litigants within a court setting. Despite reporting incidents of violence and related distress, women litigants were encouraged to reconcile. Thus, there was a need for gender-sensitive, mental health services for litigants and sensitisation for judges and court staff. The Sukoon project, run in close partnership with the Family Court system, is situated in courts and protects agency, rights and mental health of litigants.

“In just 18 months, over 500 litigants have been served and 100+ stakeholders within the Judicial ecosystem across four courts have been trained.”

— Sukoon Team.

key takeaways

A rights-based approach in policy, service delivery, curricula and law is critical for mental health.

Human rights violations are very high in mental health, especially in institutions which go beyond involuntary treatment and incarceration to verbal, physical, and sexual violence.

Persons with mental illness may not be able to access basic citizenship rights like voting or social benefits.

Criminal Justice system requires changes and inputs on mental health so that it can fulfill rights and responsibilities towards persons with mental illness while maintaining principles of criminal law.